



QFTHEP 2013 June 30 2013

Results from the IceCube Neutrino Observatory

Pavel Zarzhitsky University of Alabama on behalf of the IceCube Collaboration

The IceCube Collaboration

University of Alberta

Clark Atlanta University Georgia Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory **Ohio State University** Pennsylvania State University Southern University and A&M College Stony Brook University University of Alabama University of Alaska Anchorage University of California-Berkeley University of California-Irvine University of Delaware University of Kansas University of Maryland University of Wisconsin-Madison University of Wisconsin-River Falls

Stockholm University Uppsala Universitet

University of Oxford

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne University of Geneva

> Université Libre de Bruxelles Université de Mons University of Gent Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron Humboldt Universität Ruhr-Universität Bochum RWTH Aachen University Technische Universität München Universität Bonn Universität Dortmund Universität Mainz Universität Wuppertal

Chiba University

38 Institutions

~220 collaborators

University of Adelaide

International Funding Agencies

Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Vlaanderen (FWO-Vlaanderen) Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG) Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation Swedish Polar Research Secretariat The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)

University of Canterbury





Astronomical messengers



photons: absorbed at high energies
protons: deflected below 10 EeV and attenuated above 50 EeV
neutrinos: cover all energies
neutrinos are hard to detect -> very large detector is needed (~1km³) IceCube detector





IceCube Detector



IceCube is a 1 km³ neutrino telescope:

> 86 strings with 60 Digital OpticalModules (DOMs) per string

DOMs located from 1450m to
 2450m deep in Antarctic ice

> 81 strings have 2 IceTop surface tanks with 2 DOMs per tank

2006-2007 data set - IC9 2007-2008 data set - IC22 2008-2009 data set - IC40 2009-2010 data set - IC59 2010-2011 data set - IC79 2011-present data set - IC86 final configuration



IceTop tank





Deep Core

Deep Core is a low energy extension of IceCube

- ➢ 8 strings with high quantum efficiency DOMs
 - ≻ HQE DOMs are 35% more efficient
 - > most HQE DOMs are located in the clearest ice
 - denser string-to-string and DOM-to-DOM spacing then standard IceCube strings
- ~25MT instrumental volume
- uses IceCube outer strings as a veto

Overhead View





ALABAMA

Digital Optical Module (DOM)







v signals in IceCube







v signals in IceCube







Background signals







Moon shadow





Use cosmic ray shadow of the Moon to demonstrate IceCube angular resolution and pointing

Detected in IC40 (April 2008 – May 2009) and IC59 (May 2009 – May 2010)

- > Significance of Moon shadow is > 10σ
- \succ 1 σ width of the Moon shadow is 0.7 degree





Astrophysical neutrinos

Possible astrophysical neutrino sources include:

- active galactic nuclei
- gamma ray bursts
- > supernova remnants
- > unknown sources?

Production mechanism:

$$p+p(or \gamma) \rightarrow \pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma$$

$$\downarrow \pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}$$

$$\downarrow e^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e}$$

v's initial flavor ratio is (1:2:0) \rightarrow (1:1:1) ratio due to oscillation There is a prediction of (1:1.8:1.8) flavor ratio at high energies

 $dN/dE \sim E^{-2}$ - astrophysical neutrino spectrum

ALABAMA



➢ Astrophysical neutrinos are expected to have E⁻² spectrum, while atmospheric have softer E^{-3.7} (conventional) or E^{-2.7} (prompt) spectrum

Looking for upward going tracks above atmospheric neutrino spectrum

Neutrino effective area versus energy for different zenith angles

ALABAMA Diffuse muon neutrino search IC59



Event spectrum for muon neutrino

Best fit astrophysical flux is not 0, but consistent with 0 at less than 2 σ



Final muon neutrino limit from IC59 analysis





Diffuse cascade search in IC40



The analysis uses 367.1 days of livetime (April 2008 to June 2009)

> Events must pass reconstructed energy threshold E_{reco} >100TeV

Events must pass shape cuts (selecting spherical events)

Incident muons are vetoed

Events with early hits are rejected

➤ 3 events were found with 2.4σ excess over atmospheric muons and neutrinos





Ultra high energy neutrinos in IC79 and IC86

Background sources:

- Neutrinos generated in atmospheric showers
- Highest energy down going muons

Selection criteria:

- High number of photo electrons (PE)
- Vertex inside the IceCube volume

Zenith angle cut (atmospheric muon and neutrino backgrounds have a stronger zenith angle dependence than signal)









Ultra high energy neutrinos in IC79 and IC86





Ernie ~1150TeV

2.8 significance with respect to expected atmospheric background

Highest energy neutrino events ever observed









High energy contained vertex search in IC79 and IC86

Analysis first presented at IPA 2013, Madison

Event selection:

Event charge is at least 6000 PE Out of first 250 PEs no more then 3 PE in the veto region 662 days of livetime from May 2010 to May 2012 Sensitive to all neutrino flavors Muon background: Muons can rarely penetrate veto region 6 muons per two years Atmospheric v background: Estimated using atmospheric neutrino measurements and CORSIKA simulation

4.6 conventional and 1.5 prompt neutrino events per two years







662 days data sample gives 28 events between 30 and 1200 TeV

21 are shower like and 7 have tracks in them

Expected background is 12.1±3.4 events

Estimated significance for the data set is 4.1 σ relative to atmospheric neutrino spectrum



Sky map for contained event search



ALABAMA



- Events are consistent with neutrinos
- No significant clustering
- Flavor distribution is consistent with astrophysical (1:1:1)
- Compatible with isotropic flux



Point source search in IC40+IC59+IC79



Search for statistically significant clustering of events on the sky

Muon neutrinos from northern hemisphere and high energy muons from southern hemisphere

No statistically significant point sources found









Point source search in IC40+IC59+IC79



Gamma Ray Burst with IC40 and **IC59**



ICECUBE



GRB models predict neutrino fluxes detectable by IceCube

Search for muon neutrinos coincident with time and position of GRB

Use northern sky GRBs to reduce atmospheric muon background

Data collected in IC40 and IC59 configurations from April 2008 to May 2010.

117 IC40 GRBs + 98 IC59 GRBs in northern sky

No muon neutrino events were found within time window of 0.1-100 s and within Tu degrees of the GRB direction ~ 5.2 events expected based on GRB based

🗄 spectra



Constrains on GRB fireball parameters

ALABAMA



Places a stringent constraint on GRBs as a source of high energy cosmic rays





Indirect dark matter search in IC79

- WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles) are one of the popular Dark Matter candidates
- > WIMPs might be captured in massive celestial bodies, like the Sun
- Captured WIMPs produce neutrinos in self annihilation



Search for muon neutrinos from the direction of the Sun

317 days in IC79 (June 2010 to May 2011) Results consistent with atmospheric background, no excess seen from the Sun

Limits on muon flux from the Sun





Indirect dark matter search in IC79



Spin-independent cross section limits

Spin-dependent cross section limits





Search for dark matter annihilation in galactic halo

search for anisotropy in neutrino flux caused by WIMP annihilation in Galactic Halo: flux in the direction of the galactic center should be larger than in the direction of the anticenter

No significant anisotropy observed

Limit on WIMP annihilation cross section



Indirect dark matter search in IC59







Study of atmospheric neutrino induced cascades in Deep Core

Signal:

```
Cascades are produced by CC \,\nu_{_{e}}^{} events and NC events all flavors (atmospheric cascades)
```

Data:

281 day of IceCube data collected from June 2010 to May 2011

Backgrounds:

Atmospheric muon events

Use IceCube as a veto

CC $\nu_{_{\rm II}}$ tracks misreconstructed as cascades







The event rate as function of reconstructed cascade energy.

Due to relatively high energy neutrino oscillation has a very small effect on these results (1.8% for $\nu_{_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!}}$ 0.1 % for $\nu_{_{\!}}$)





	Signal			Background		MC	$\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{obs}}$
Type	$\nu_e \mathrm{NC}$	$\nu_e CC$	$\nu_{\mu} NC$	$\nu_{\mu} CC$	atm. μ	Sum	
Bartol	26	290	267	403	147	1134	-
Honda	19	227	245	368	147	1007	-
Average	23	259	256	385	147	1070	-
Data	-	-	-	-	-	-	1029

Number of events that passed final cuts observed in 281 days. Neutrino simulations have statistical uncertainties less than 2%, while muon MC have uncertainty 45%.







IC79 electron neutrino spectrum



First measurement of atmospheric electron neutrino flux above 80 GeV





Neutrino oscillation

$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}) = 1 - \sin^2 (2\theta_{23}) \sin^2 (1.27 \Delta m_{23}^2 L/E)$$

 θ_{23} is the mixing angle between the two neutrino mass eigenstates, Δm_{23}^{2} is the difference of the square of their masses in eV² L is the distance traveled in kilometers E the neutrino energy in GeV

- Muon neutrino disappearance in atmospheric neutrinos
- $\succ \nu_{_{\rm e}}, \, \overline{\nu}_{_{\rm e}}, \, \nu_{_{\rm \mu}} \, \text{and} \, \overline{\nu}_{_{\rm \mu}} \, \text{are produced}$
- ➤ Main source of background is atmospheric muons very high rate
- Background is rejected by applying muon veto
- Search for atmospheric neutrino oscillation in IC79 data from May 2010 to May 2011 (318.9 days livetime)

ALABAMA



Data and Monte Carlo for oscillation and non-oscillation hypothesis Oscillation hypothesis assumed that $\sin^2\Theta_{23} = 0.995$ and $\Delta m^2_{23} = 2.39$ 10-3 eV² Non-oscillation hypothesis rejected with 5.6 σ significance





Significance contours for this atmospheric neutrino oscillation analysis, compared with the results of ANTARES, MINOS and SuperKamiokande





Cosmic ray anisotropy in IceTop

IceTop is sensitive to cosmic rays between 100 TeV and 1EeV Search for anisotropy in the southern hemisphere cosmic ray sky



Sky map of relative intensity for cosmic rays of median energy 400 TeV, May 2010 to May 2011 (73 IceTop tanks)



Sky map of relative intensity for cosmic rays of median energy 2 PeV, May 2010 to May 2011 (73 IceTop tanks)

Anisotropy observed up to PeV energies Using IC59 data cosmic ray anisotropy observed in the range 20-400 TeV





Summary

- IceCube has been taking data in final configuration since 2011
- IceCube has seen highest energy neutrino events ever observed
- Contained event search in IceCube may see the first hint of diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux
- IceCube DeepCore has observed electron neutrino cascades and atmospheric muon neutrino disappearance





Back Up slides







Deep Core Trigger: we have an event in Deep Core

Filter: this event is not muon going through IceCube

BDT5: 5 variables describing event topology are used in Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) algorithm.

BDT7: events are reconstructed assuming originated from muon and neutrino cascade; these reconstructions provide 7 variables for the second BDT cut. **Final:** mostly neutrino events, but many come from CC v_{μ} interactions, not from v_{e} or NC v_{μ} . Final cut separate v_{μ} background





Flasher board



- ➤ contain 12 405 nm LED's
- 6 horizontal and 6 tilted at ~40 degrees upward
- LED's can be flashed separately with different brightness
- each LED produces a pulse from 5-65 ns
- > 16+1 boards have LED's with different wavelength (cDOMs), all horizontal

Plots from Chris Wendt's talk