EXPONENTIAL DECAY IN QUANTUM MECHANICS AND THE SPECTRAL INTERPRETATION OF RESONANCES.

V. Kruglov¹, K. A. Makarov², <u>B. Pavlov^{3,4}</u>, A. Yafyasov⁴.

¹ Department of Physics, University of Auckland, New Zealand,

² Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA,

³ The New Zealand Institute for Advanced Study,

Massey University (Albany Campus), Auckland, New Zealand.

⁴ V. A. Fock Institute for Physics, Department of Physics, St. Petersburg University, Russia.

1 Historical Introduction and motivation of the decay problem

For a short period after publication of the revolutionary paper by Gamow [3], the question on mathematical description of the decay of the wave packet seemed almost clear and requiring only a minor brushing up. To fit accurately the Gamov's formalism into the frames of "regular" Quantum Theory of that time, Weisskopf and Wigner suggested to include minor imaginary corrections into the eigenvalues in a hope to rectify the formula for the evolution taking into account the decay. It fitted the idea of the spectral nature of resonances, but, unfortunately was non-compatible with the basic requirement of self-adjointness of the Hamiltonian involved. The competing point of view was formulated by Fock and Krylov in an attempt to fit the description of resonance dynamics into the evolution via contour integral of the resolvent of the Hamiltonian, which already included, in implicit form, an idea on the non-spectral nature of resonances. Further development of this technique by L. Halfin in [7] revealed non-exponential behavior of the Schrödinger wave function for large time. Since that presence of non-exponential terms is considered as a reliable theoretical prediction, see [8], just not yet confirmed by experimentalists.

In this paper we aim on revamping of the quoted proposals with the idea to find a point of view which would permit for the ends to meet one another. Our program does not eliminate the above theoretical analysis [5, 7], but reduces the question on the decay to the discussion of choice of the **measurement tool** that would deliver the data from the quantum system to the observer. In this paper, we consider the case when the role of the "delivering tool" is played by the electromagnetic field, or generally, by another zero-mass field.

Probably scattering of photons by a superconductor can be considered as a simplest relevant example. Indeed, due to the Meissner effect, magnetic field cannot penetrate the super-conducting medium. The theoretical treatment of the phenomenon by Ginzburg and Landau (see [27]) is based on acquiring a non-zero mass by photons with the role of Higgs boson played by the Cooper pair. Hence, both contradictory requirements of zero-mass in the outer space, and the non-zero mass in the inner space are satisfied. Thus, we may hope to "put both ends together" in the problem .

Consider a compact domain in R_3 filled with a superconductor. The Lagrangian of the electro-magnetic field in the outer space is represented in terms of the field A_2 - the electromagnetic potential,- as

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\hat{\Omega}_s}F^+F, \text{ where } F=dA,$$

(see for instance [28]). Here dA is an exterior differential of the field A, and F^+F is a 3-form obtained as an exterior product of 2-form F and its (hermitian) complement. In the boundary area Ω_{δ} of the superconductor, due to the interaction of the electromagnetic field with the boson field of Cooper pairs, the Lagrangian of the electromagnetic field is modified by adding massive terms containing the product of the electromagnetic field and the field of Cooper pairs see [28]. The depth of penetration of the magnetic field into the superconductor is estimated by the size δ of the Cooper pair, which is normally relatively large, greater than 10^{-7} cm. If the energy of photons does not exceed the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer gap (the BCS - gap), the field of Cooper pairs can be eliminated and the scattering of photons by the superconductor can be treated in the one-body photon's sector, similar to the scattering problem in the classical Quantum Mechanics. In the one-body photon's sector, the scattering problem in vacuum $\hat{\Omega}_s$ can be reduced to the wave equation (the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation with zero mass). Similarly, the problem in Ω_{δ} is also reduced to the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation with non-zero mass. The corresponding scattered waves satisfy smooth matching conditions on the common boundary of $\hat{\Omega}_s$ and Ω_{δ} . If the domain Ω_s is filled with a superconductor, then the electromagnetic potential should vanish on the common boundary $\partial \Omega_s \cap \partial \Omega_{\delta}$. Thus, one can consider, as a representative model, the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation in $R_3 = \Omega_s \cup \Omega_{\delta} \cup \hat{\Omega}_s$ assuming that the compact domain $\Omega_s \cup \Omega_{\delta}$ is filled with the superconductor, and Ω_v is vacuum. The mass is zero on Ω_v , but is non-zero on the δ -thin shell Ω_{δ} , separating the inner and the outer spaces. While Ω_s is filled by the superconductor, the electromagnetic field does not penetrate Ω_s , so that we can apply a zero boundary condition on $\partial \Omega_s \cup \partial \Omega_{\delta}$. Then the spectrum of the Klein-Gordon-Fock operator in Ω_{δ} is discrete, and one on the complement Ω_v is continuous. Hence, the scattering in the small energy region, for energy not exceeding the creation threshold of the Cooper pair, has a resonance character. The scattering matrix of the problem is unitary and analytic with respect to the energy on the complement of the discrete set of resonances. For small values of the added energy $E' = E - mc^2$, $E' << mc^2$, the evolution on Ω_{δ} can be described in the Schrödinger form:

$$E = c\sqrt{m^2c^2 + p^2} \approx mc^2 + \frac{p^2}{2m}.$$

Indeed, considering on Ω_{δ} the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation with non-zero mass

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2} = \left[\hbar^2\Delta - m^2c^2\right]\psi,$$

permits to split off the fast oscillations by the unitary transformation $\psi = e^{-imc^2\hbar^{-1}t}\phi$:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}e^{-imc^2\hbar^{-1}t} - imc^2\hbar^{-1}\phi e^{-imc^2\hbar^{-1}t}\right] \approx -\frac{imc^2}{\hbar}\phi e^{-imc^2\hbar^{-1}t},$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial^2 t} \approx -\left[\frac{2imc^2}{\hbar}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{m^2c^4}{\hbar^2}\phi\right] e^{-imc^2\hbar^{-1}t},$$
(1)

which yields, for small momenta, the Schrödinger equation for ϕ

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\phi = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

A nice feature of this equation is the possibility to interpret $|\phi|^2$ as the probability density for the particle to bound at the location marked by space coordinates (x,t) of the wave function $\phi(x,t)$, with the total probability to find the particle in the space is conserved $\int |\phi(x,t)|^2 dx = const$. But the formal use of it in the large time scale would give a non-exponential decay of the wave packet of the magnetic field. Moreover, vice versa, a straightforward analysis based on the Lax-Phillips scattering arguments for the zero-mass field in Ω_v and the non-zero mass in the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation on Ω_{δ} shows an exponential decay, and even reveals the spectral meaning of resonances.

Another interesting example of the exponential decay can be connected with a similar problem for a thin compact super-conducting shell Ω_{δ} separating the inner vacuum domain Ω_s from the outer domain $\hat{\Omega}_s$. Considering the oneparticle scattering problem with smooth matching conditions on the inner and the outer components of the boundary of the shell, we again obtain a Lax-Phillips Scattering System. Taking into account the non-zero mass of the field on the shell, we see that the low-energy resonances arise from the discrete spectrum of the Dirichlet problem for the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation on the shell. A relevant physical phenomenon was observed on a multi-layer shell constructed of carbon nano-structures (see, for instance, [40]). In that paper, the resonance pumping phenomenon was discovered. Our previous analysis of the super-conducting shells allow us to formulate a question on the superconduction nature of the carbon shell in the experiment, which would explain the nature of pumping based on the classical Lax-Phillips resonance scattering (see next section).

The fields with nonzero mass play an important role in the transition from the Klein-Gordon-Fock evolution to the Schrödinger evolution. One may guess that other possible experiments revealing an exponential decay in quantum physics can be considered with involvement of some scalar boson fields playing the role of the Higgs field in the corresponding problems. This gives us a pretext to underline a unique role of measurements based on zero-mass fields in quantum physics. In combination with the symmetry breaking and mass creation, these measurements may help to explain the exponential decay and resonance pumping in these experiments.

2 A 1D example of scattering of zero-mass particle

In the 1D example considered in this section, the role of the delivering tool is played by a massless field governed by the wave equation, a 1D analog of photons.

Some of the basic mathematical tools that we use to interpret the exponential decay are already prepared by mathematicians. Similar situation was observed in Quantum Mechanics, where an exact understanding of selfadjointness (the physicists required for the Schrödinger theory by mid twenties) was already prepared by Hermann Weyl in 1916, see an adapted text in [9]. In our treatment of the exponential decay, we use analysis of the acoustic scattering problem prepared by Peter Lax and Ralph Phillips in the 60-s of the last century (see [10]). Actually, Lax and Phillips succeeded to overcome, without even noticing it, the horror physicists survived when they discovered that the evolution of a quantum system with positive Hamiltonian L may be generated by another operator \mathcal{L} , which has both negative and positive branches of spectrum. This phenomenon, discovered by Dirac in the 30-s, was rigorously analyzed by Hegerfeld in his prominent theorem [11] only at the end of previous century. Hegerfeld was able to show that the evolution of a quantum system with positive Hamiltonian always has "infinite tails". For instance, the component of the 1D acoustic evolution in the positive frequency sector is represented by D'Alembertian waves that admit analytic continuation into the upper half-plane, and thus cannot vanish on a set with positive measure on the real axis. This was an essential step to legitimizing the non-semi-bounded generators of evolution. Another example of a non-semibounded generator is given by the super-charge in super-symmetric quantum mechanics. So, by the end of previous century, the trick suggested by Lax and Phillips would not look surprising any more. But in the mid-century, it was probably still too special and suspicious for physicists: Lax and Phillips represented the evolution of the Cauchy data $\mathbf{u} \equiv (u, c^{-1}u_t)$ of the wave equation $c^{-2}u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$ as a unitary transformation in the energy-normed space of the Cauchy data $\mathbf{u} \equiv (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_t)$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{out}} \left[c^{-2} |u_{t}|^{2} + |\nabla u|^{2} \right] dx^{3}.$$
(3)

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \equiv \mathcal{L} \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathcal{L}^2 = -\Delta.$$
(4)

It turns out that (i) the generator \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint in the energy-normed space \mathcal{E} of all Cauchy data with finite energy, (ii) the spectrum of \mathcal{L} in the energy-normed space of Cauchy data supported by the complement Ω_{out} of a compact domain $\Omega \subset R_3$ is absolutely continuous and it fills in the whole real axis (iii) the unitary group U_t has incoming and outgoing subspaces \mathcal{D}_{in} , \mathcal{D}_{out} that are invariant with respect to the positive and negative semi-groups U_t and U_{-t} , $t \geq 0$, respectively. In fact, these subspaces consist of the data vanishing on the positive and negative light-cones respectively

$$U_t \mathcal{D}_{out} \subset \mathcal{D}_{out}, \quad t \ge 0, \qquad U_t \mathcal{D}_{in} \subset \mathcal{D}_{in}, \quad t \le 0,$$
(5)

and Cauchy data of the incoming and outgoing waves on the complement $R_3 \setminus \Omega \equiv \Omega_{out}$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the energy dot-product.

Fortunately, by that time the question on description of invariant subspaces of an important isometry group in the Hilbert space was already solved by Arno Beurling [12] with no connection to the above acoustic problem. Beurling considered in 1947 the shift operator T (right translation) in the Hilbert space l_2 of all complex square-summable sequences $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, ...)$

$$(x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \xrightarrow{T} (0, x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \equiv t\vec{x}.$$

One of Beurling's problems in [12] was the description of all invariant subspaces \mathcal{D} of $T : T\mathcal{D} \subset T$. Obviously, the space of all sequences, $\sum_{s} |x_s|^2$, with (several) zeros on the first positions, like $(0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$, is invariant with respect to T. What are the others invariant subspaces? It is not that easy to answer the question using the language of the l_2 space. But if we change the language by translating the question into the one of Complex Analysis and substituting the sequences by the functions analytic in the unit disc $\mathbf{B} = \{\zeta : |\zeta| < 1\}$:

$$\vec{X}(x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \xrightarrow{T} x_0 + \zeta x_1 + \zeta^2 x_2 + \zeta^3 x_3 + \ldots \equiv x(\zeta) \equiv T\vec{x},$$

the problem of the description of invariant subspaces becomes almost trivial, and this is the beauty so that we get a marvelous chance to view the problem from a completely new point, substituting T by the multiplication operator : $T\vec{x} \longrightarrow \zeta x(\zeta)$. Indeed, this transformation is a unitary mapping of l_2 onto the class of all analytic functions on the unit disc, with square integrable boundary data on the circle $\Gamma = \{\zeta : |\zeta| = 1\}$. This is the celebrated Hardy class H_+^2 : a subspace of $L_2(\Gamma)$ consisting of all functions which admit an analytic continuation onto the unit disc equipped with the norm

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |x(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = |\vec{x}|_{l_2}^2$$

The subspace of all sequences $(0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$, with zero on the first position, is transformed into the class ζH_+^2 of all analytic functions in the unit disc vanishing at the center of the disc. It is clear now that all subspaces of functions vanishing at an inner point a are invariant with respect to T and are represented as $\frac{a-\zeta}{1-\bar{a}\zeta}H_+^2$. Of course, all subspaces of the analytic functions in the unit disk generated by finite or infinite Blaschke products $\Pi_{\vec{a}}(\zeta) \equiv \Pi_s \frac{a_s-\zeta}{1-\bar{a}_s\zeta} \frac{a_s}{|a_s|}$, with convergent series $\sum_s (1-|a_s^2|) < \infty$, are invariant subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{out} = \Pi_{\vec{a}}H_+^2$ of the shift operator $T : T\Pi_{\vec{a}}H_+^2 = \zeta\Pi_{\vec{a}}H_+^2 \subset \Pi_{\vec{a}}H_+^2$. Some uniform limits of the Blaschke products give rise to so called singular inner functions $\Theta_{\mu}(\zeta)$ on the unit disc. They are represented via positive singular measures μ supported by the unit circle as $\Theta_{\mu}(\zeta) = \exp \int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\zeta+\eta}{\zeta-\eta} d\mu(\eta)$. The functions Θ_{μ} also produce invariant subspaces $\Theta_{\mu}H_+^2$ of the shift, [14, 13]. The full answer to the question about the structure of the *outgoing* invariant subspaces of the shift , $\zeta \mathcal{D}_{out} \subset \mathcal{D}_{out} \subset H_+^2$, is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{D}_{out} = \Theta_{\mu} \ \Pi \ H_{+}^2$$

Similarly, the problem of the description of the invariant subspaces of the left shift U_t , t < 0, in the space of all sequences $x = (\dots, -3, -2, -1)$ can be considered with the use of the Hardy class H^2_{-} of analytic functions on the complement to the unit disc. These subspaces can be constructed from the singular inner factor and the Blaschke product Θ, Π based on the symmetry principle $\overline{\Pi}(\zeta) = \Pi(\overline{\zeta}^{-1})$ as:

$$\mathcal{D}_{in} = \bar{\Theta}_{\mu} \ \bar{\Pi} \ H_{-}^2$$

It is a remarkable fact, that the positive semi-group $\{\zeta^l\}$, $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, of the unitary group ζ^l on $L_2(\Gamma)$, restricted to the co-invariant subspace $H^2_+ \ominus \mathcal{D}_{out} \equiv \mathcal{K} = H^2_+ \ominus \Pi H^2_+ \equiv K$ proves to be a contracting semi-group

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}\zeta^{l}\Big|_{\mathcal{K}} \equiv Z^{l}, \ l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots ,$$

with the generator Z. Indeed, since $\zeta P_{H^2_+} \in P_{H^2_+} \perp K$, for l = 2, we have:

$$Z^{2} = P_{K}\zeta^{2}P_{K} = P_{K}\zeta[P_{H_{+}^{2}} + P_{K} + P_{H_{-}^{2}}]\zeta P_{K} = P_{K}\zeta[P_{H_{+}^{2}} + P_{K}]\zeta P_{K} = P_{K}\zeta P_{K}\zeta P_{K} = Z^{2}.$$

Moreover, the eigenvalues of the generator Z coincide with the zeros a_s of the Blaschke product $\Pi_{\vec{a}}$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions are $\psi_s[\zeta] = \frac{\Pi_{\vec{a}}(\zeta)}{a_s - \zeta}$. In addition, the bi-orthogonal system of eigenvectors of the adjoint operator Z^+ is constituted by the reproducing kernels $\phi_s(\zeta) = \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a_s}\zeta}$, so that the spectral decomposition of Z, with simple discrete spectrum, is given by the interpolation series

$$f = \sum_{s} \frac{\prod_{\vec{a}}(\zeta)}{a_s - \zeta} \frac{f(a_s)}{\frac{d\prod_{\vec{a}}}{d\zeta}(a_s)}, \quad f \in K$$

Similar explicit formulae are also true for the continuous shift of the real axis $f(x) \to f(x-t) \equiv U_t f$. The role of the incoming and outgoing subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{in,out}$ for the continuous shift group in the spectral (Fourier) representation $U_t \equiv e^{ipt}$ is played by the Hardy classes of square-integrable functions $H^2_{\pm} \subset L_2(R)$ that admit an analytical continuation to the upper and lower half-planes, respectively. In particular, the subspaces ΠH^2_+ generated by the Blaschke products in the upper half-plane are invariant with respect to the (continuous) shift in the Fourier representation. In general, the invariant subspaces of the positive semi-group $U_t, t \geq 0$ are parameterized by the inner functions $\Theta \Pi$ in the upper half-plane as $\Theta \Pi H^2_+ \equiv \mathcal{D}_{out}$, and, for the negative semi-group, the corresponding representation is of the form $\overline{\Theta \Pi H^2_-} = \mathcal{D}_{in}$. The restriction of the positive semi-group of the continuous shift onto the orthogonal complement of $L_2(R) \oplus [\mathcal{D}_{in} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{out}] \equiv \mathcal{K}$ of the "incoming" and "outgoing" subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{in,out}$ in $L_2(R) \equiv \mathcal{E}$, with K the corresponding

co-invariant subspace, is a strongly-continuous Lax-Phillips semi-group $P_{\mathcal{K}}U_t|_{\mathcal{K}} =: e^{i\mathcal{B}t}, t > 0$, of contractions generated by a dissipative operator \mathcal{B} . The spectral properties of the generator \mathcal{B} are completely determined by the scattering matrix $S \equiv \Theta \Pi$ associated with the unitary group U_t and the corresponding unperturbed group U_t^0 which is a colligation of the components of the evolution on the reduced space $\mathcal{E}_0 =: \mathcal{D}_{in} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{out}$, see [19]. Again, similarly to the above discrete case, the spectral analysis of the Lax-Phillips semi-group can be done in an explicit form in terms of the corresponding inner function $\Theta \Pi$, the scattering matrix.

Here is another source of beauty: the duality between the geometrical problem on invariant subspaces and relevant spectral questions for contracting and dissipative operators and classical questions on interpolation and approximation from the theory of Analytic functions. Unfortunately, the simple calculations above never appeared in elementary courses of Complex Analysis for physicists or engineers.

The question on *exponential decay* for the acoustic problem on the complement of the scatterer Ω in a large ball B_R served as a central motivation for [10]. This problem is reduced to the study of spectral properties of the generator B of the Lax-Phillips semi-group: if all eigenvalues of the generator B are situated strictly in the upper spectral half-plane $\Im \lambda > \beta > 0$, then the Lax-Phillips semi-group admits an exponential estimation

$$\| e^{i\mathcal{B}t} \mathbf{u}_0 \| \le C e^{-\beta' t} \| \mathbf{u}_0 \|, \quad t \ge 0.$$

for any $\beta' < \beta$, with an appropriate absolute constant C, depending on β' . Highly nontrivial analysis was developed to prove the bound $\Im \lambda > \beta > 0$, $\lambda \in \sigma_B$, for compact obstacles Ω that satisfy the exterior cone condition. Generally, the whole machinery, developed in [10] to reach the quoted exponential estimate for acoustic scattering, is based on Harmonic Analysis of matrix-valued analytic functions $u \in L_2(E)$. It was motivated by the problem of description of all invariant subspaces of the standard shift groups $u(p) \to e^{ipt}u(p) \equiv u(p,t)$ in the space $L_2(E)$ of vector-valued, square-integrable functions $u(p) \in E$ on the real axis $-\infty . In fact, the above evolution group <math>U_t$ is unitarily equivalent to the shift group, and the incoming subspaces of the evolution group U_t are equivalent to subspaces of the Hardy class $H^2_-(E) \subset L_2(E)$ of all square integrable functions admitting an analytic continuation into the lower half-plane $\Im p < 0$, see [14]. The outgoing subspaces of the evolution group are unitarily equivalent either to the Hardy class $H^2_+(E) \subset L_2(E)$, or to subspaces ΘH^2_+ of the Hardy class defined by the *inner factors* Θ , which are unitary on the real axis and admit an analytic continuation into the upper half-plane $\Im p > 0$. In the case when Π is a Blaschke product

$$\Pi(p) = \prod_{l} \left[\frac{p - p_l}{p - \bar{p}_l} \theta_l P_l + P_l^{\perp} \right],$$

with appropriate phase factors θ_l and projections P_l , $P_l^{\perp} = I - P_l$, the quantities \bar{p}_l coincide with the eigenvalues of the adjoint generator \mathcal{B}^+ , and the eigenfunctions of the adjoint generator, in the "incoming" spectral representation of the original unitary group U_t in the energy-normed space \mathcal{E} , coincide with the reproducing kernels $\varphi_l = \frac{e_l}{p - \bar{p}_l}$. The bi-orthogonal system of eigenfunctions of the original operator \mathcal{B} is formed as $\psi_l = \frac{\Theta(p)}{p - p_l} e_l^+$, with $e^+ \in \ker \Theta(p_l)$, see [13, 15, 16]. In the general case, these facts are derived from an extended theory of the "functional model" (see, for instance, [13, 15, 16]), which covers the Lax-Phillips generators with absolutely continuous spectrum. The modern theory of the Functional Model allows one to reduce all the questions of the spectral theory of the Lax-Phillips semi-group to the relevant questions of the theory of analytic functions and/or Harmonic Analysis.

The crucial role of the theory of analytic functions for the theory of nonselfadjoint operator was predicted by M. G. Krein in his talk at the Moscow International Congress of Mathematicians in 1966, (see, [17, 18]). The problem on exponential Decay should be connected, from the point of view of mathematicians, with the list of problems on spectral analysis of dissipative or contracting operators. In the simplest case of a one-dimensional acoustic problem that we discuss in section 3, most of the above facts of spectral analysis of the Lax-Phillips semi-group are established via straightforward calculations.

It must be noted that the first attempt to bridge the general theory of nonselfadjoint (in particular, dissipative) operators with relevant physics was undertaken by M. S. Livshits [20]. He was motivated by the observation that the problem of analysis of nonself-adjoint details of complex physical systems appears each time we attempt to substitute a whole complex system by a simpler surrogate system with similar properties. In [20], M. Livshits suggested a simplified model of a waveguide attached to a resonator, produced by substitution of a nonself-adjoint detail of the original system by a "triangular model", which, at the time was the only available general model of a dissipative operator. Based on

Livshits' discovery, a new, more convenient "functional model" was suggested by B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias (see [13]). But the role of the scattering matrix as a basic parameter of the functional model was not yet recognized at that stage. Few years later, a seminal paper [19] provided an important connection between the Lax–Phillips scattering theory and the Sz.-Nagy–Foias Functional Model, see [10, 13]. One of he most important achievements of the theory was to give the spectral meaning to resonances, which never happened in the pure quantum mechanical treatment of the problem of the exponential decay.

All these important events succeeded just inside Mathematics. Physicists did not see, until now, any connection between an elegant analysis used by the community of analysts in their study of the acoustic problem or the corresponding abstract shift groups. One of the reasons for that is that the unitary group generated by the semi-bounded Schrödinger operator does not have orthogonal incoming and outgoing subspaces, as it follows from the Hegerfeldt Theorem [11].

Nevertheless, an elegant analysis provided by the Lax–Phillips approach served as a motivation for the further research in a close area followed by publishing numerous physical papers. In particular, in [22, 23], the standard Hilbert space L_2 of square-integrable functions was supplied with additional structures transforming it into a space similar to the one used in [10]. In [24], a model Hamiltonian is constructed and an artificial analytic scattering matrix is suggested. In the case studied by Horwitz and Piron, the most important property of the model system in the Lax-Phillips approach, the orthogonality of the incoming and outgoing subspaces, was just formally derived from the analyticity of the constructed model scattering matrix. In recent papers, H. Baumgärtel with coauthors attempted to match the quantum mechanical condition of positivity of the generator of the evolution with spectral interpretation of the resonances to give the spectral meaning to the corresponding "Gamov vectors" (see [25, 26]). Unfortunately, on this way all essential advantages of the Nagy-Foias functional model such as explicit expressions for the eigenvectors of the Lax-Phillips semigroup, the Gamov vectors, completeness of the corresponding bi-orthogonal system, and the relevant spectral decomposition were lost, because of the absence of natural, physically motivated, orthogonal pair of incoming and outgoing subspaces. Besides, no physical consequences were derived in [25, 26] from the proposed matching of Quantum Mechanics with the corresponding analog of the Lax-Phillips theory. This most likely suggests that the scheme proposed in the papers is sentenced, according to the Arnold algorithm, to remain, for another period inside mathematics until all these details are completed.

Contrary to that, in our version of bridging standard Quantum Mechanics with the Lax-Phillips theory, instead of inventing an artificial construction added on top of the standard quantum space of all square-integrable functions in order to imitate the Lax-Phillips structure, we consider excitations of the zero mass field playing the role of a channel passing information to the outside observer on the inner quantum system. Although the evolution of the "inner" the Quantum System, for a finite time, can be represented in the Schrödinger form as e^{iLt} with a positive Hamiltonian L, the study of its asymptotics as $t \to \infty$ requires a treatment based on the complete zero-mass field evolution. The substitution of the Lorenz invariant picture by the Schrödinger picture of evolution can only be done under the "positivity of mass" condition (see next section). It is not trivial to match this requirement with the zero-mass condition for the Lax-Phillips scheme.

Thus, the central question in our treatment becomes the matching of the Lax-Phillips scattering scheme with Quantum Mechanics with the positive Hamiltonian, that is the question on the physical realization. And again, the answer to this question is not general and does not look obvious.

3 An example: Analysis of the model of Decay observed in a 1D analog of the electro-magnetic experiment.

Our review of the Lax-Phillips technique and the basic results presented in section 1 shows just the tip of the iceberg. The rest of the estimations, The Complex and Harmonic Analysis remained under cover. In the previous sections of this paper we provided only a sketch of the results that could be obtained by the classical Lax-Phillips technique for the corresponding multi-dimensional decay problem. We now aim at the simplest 1D model, for which all analytical details of the Lax-Phillips resonance scattering theory can be derived *explicitly* with the use of standard tools of spectral theory of ordinary differential operators. Note that the 1D model of photons was legitimized by [30]. We consider

here a 1D model of scattering of 1D photons by a superconducting shell Ω_{δ} in a form of a zero-mass Klein-Gordon-Fock equation with quantum well potential supported by $(-a, -\delta)$ with zero boundary condition at the endpoint x = -a. The potential on the interval $(-\delta, 0) \equiv \Omega_{\delta}$ is determined by the mass of photons in a thin surface layer of the superconductor, presented by a rectangular potential barrier. The quantum well is attached to the positive half-axis $(0, \infty)$:

$$c^{-2}u_{tt} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + V_H(x)u = 0, \ -a < x < \infty, \ u(-a) = 0.$$
(6)

Instead of the super-conducting layer Ω_{δ} supporting the non-zero mass photon's field, we may assume that the potential has a repulsing singularity $H\delta(x)$, H > 0, at the origin, $V_H(x) = V(x) + H\delta(x)$, and a smooth real component V(x), -a < x < 0. This δ -singularity emulates the condition of domination of the energy of photons by the BCS gap and plays the role of a high potential barrier that separates the inner part and the outer parts $\Omega_s \equiv (-a, 0)_{s,s}$ $\hat{\Omega}_s = (0,\infty)$, with the zero-mass field in the outer vacuum space x > 0 and on the inner vacuum space (-a, 0). Changing the "height" H of the barrier, one can approach the limit $H = \infty$, which corresponds to the zero boundary condition u(0) = 0 decoupling the inner and the outer subsystems. The role of excitations in the model is played by the one-dimensional "photons" in the outer space x > 0. The corresponding excitations inside the well $[-a, 0] = \Omega_s$ are not observed independently, but only due to their connection to the photon's field in vacuum $[0,\infty)$ via the excitations on the shell. Following our proposal in previous section, we introduce the slow varying component ψ of the wave-function $u = \psi(x) e^{-imc^2 \hbar^{-1}t}$ on the shell and assume that the variation of the kinetic energy associated with slow variables $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}c^{-2} \parallel \psi_t \parallel^2$ is relatively small and can be neglected so that we get the Schrödinger equation with $\omega = mc^2/\hbar$ (see (1) in the previous section). The corresponding Schrödinger equation describes the evolution of the slow component of the excitation's field in the quantum well, passed from the evolution inside the well to the evolution of the 1D photon's field outside. Analysis of the wave-packets based on the Schrödinger equation (6), derived by the separation of the fast and slow variables, reveals a polynomial decay rate caused by the branching point at the origin p = 0 in the plane of the spectral parameter (see [7]). This theoretical proposal was never confirmed experimentally (see the corresponding discussion in section 2). We guess that the realistic decay rate can be theoretically extracted from the original equation (6) based on the analysis of the corresponding Lax-Phillips dynamics (see below and more technical details in [10, 15]).

Notice, first of all, that the basic Hilbert space associated with the Schrödinger equation is the space of all squareintegrable functions $L_2(-a, \infty)$, while the Hilbert space associated with (6) is an energy-normed space \mathcal{E} of the Cauchy data $\mathbf{u} = (u, c^{-1}u_t) \equiv (u_0, u_1)$,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathcal{E}}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^{\infty} \left[|u_{x}|^{2} + V_{H} u \bar{u} + c^{-2} |u_{t}|^{2} \right] dx.$$
(7)

The basic equation (6) can be represented as a first order equation for the vector of Cauchy data, with a symmetric (self-adjoint) generator \mathcal{L} :

$$\frac{1}{ic}\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{dt} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}.$$
(8)

The evolution (8) of the Cauchy data is defined by the unitary group exp $i\mathcal{L}t \equiv U_t$, which has an orthogonal pair of incoming and outgoing subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{in,out}$, consisting of Cauchy data $\{(u, u_x)\}$, $\{(u, -u_x)\}$ of the corresponding d'Alembertian waves $\mathbf{u}(x \pm ct)$, and supported by the positive half-axis $0 < x < \infty$, see [10]. The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{E} \ominus [\mathcal{D}_{in} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{out}]$, the corresponding co-invariant subspace , consists of the Cauchy data supported essentially by the quantum well [-a, 0] and equal to $\mathbf{u} = (\text{const}, 0)$ on the half-axis $(0, \infty)$. It is very easy to derive the semi-group property of the evolution reduced onto the co-invariant subspace-the Lax-Phillips semi-group:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\kappa} \left. e^{i\mathcal{L}t} \right|_{\mathcal{K}} \equiv e^{i\mathcal{B}t}, \ t > 0, \tag{9}$$

and calculate the corresponding generator as

$$\mathcal{B} = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_H & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

with the zero boundary condition at the end x = -a and the impedance boundary condition at the origin $\left[u_1 + \frac{du_0}{dx}\right]\Big|_{x=+0} = 0$. Similarly, the generator $-\mathcal{B}^+$ of the adjoint semi-group $e^{-i\mathcal{B}^+t}$ is determined by the same

differential expression with the dual impedance boundary condition at the origin $\left[u_1 - \frac{du_0}{dx}\right]\Big|_{x=+0} = 0$. Both the generators $\mathcal{B}, -\mathcal{B}^+$ are dissipative operators (see [10]), with discrete spectrum. It is important that the spectrum of \mathcal{B} is defined by the zeros of the corresponding Lax-Phillips *scattering matrix*, the resonances.

Indeed, the incoming and outgoing subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{in,out}$ of the Cauchy data are constituted by the Cauchy data of D'Alembertian waves $\Phi(x \pm ct)$ supported by the positive half-axis. Then the spectral images of them with the use of the incoming scattered waves Ψ_{in} define the rescription \mathcal{J}_{in} of the problem in the "incoming" spectral representation of \mathcal{L} , attributing \mathcal{D}_{in} to the Hardy class H^2_{-} of all square-integrable functions admitting an analytic continuation to the lower half-plane $\Im p < 0$ of the spectral parameter p. This spectral representation is defined by the incoming scattered waves of \mathcal{L}

$$\Psi_{in}(x,p) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{ip} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \psi_{in}(x,p),$$
(10)

where $\psi_{in}(x,p)$ is the solution of the equation $-\frac{d^2\psi_{in}}{dx^2} + V_H(x)\psi_{in} = p^2\psi_{in}$, satisfying the zero boundary condition at the end x = -a and matching the scattering Ansatz

$$\psi_{in}(x,p) = e^{ipx} + S(p)e^{-ipx}, x > 0, \quad \psi_{out}(x,p) = \bar{\psi}_{in}(x,p)$$

at the origin to an appropriate solution $\varphi_{in,out}(x,p)$ of the original equation $-\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} + V_H(x)\varphi = \lambda\varphi \equiv p^2\varphi$ on the well (-a,0), and also satisfying the zero boundary condition at the end $x = -a : \varphi(-a,p) = 0$. The corresponding Weyl function $m_H(\lambda) \equiv \varphi'(0,p) \varphi^{-1}(0,p) + H = m(\lambda) + H$ has a negative imaginary part in the upper half-plane $\Im \lambda > 0$. The stationary scattering matrix is found from the matching condition at the origin, taking into account the δ -function $:[\psi']\Big|_{0} - H\psi(0) = 0$:

$$S(p) = \frac{ip - m_H(\lambda)}{ip + m_H(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda = p^2.$$
(11)

This function is analytic in the lower half-plane $\Im p < 0$, and it has a sequence of zeros p_s , $\Im p_s < 0$, which is symmetric with respect to reflection $p_s = -\bar{p}_{-s}$. The scattered waves ψ obtained by matching ψ_{in}, ψ_{out} to $\phi_{in,out}$ form a complete orthogonal in $L_2(-a, \infty)$ systems of eigenfunctions of the spectral problem $-\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + V(x)\psi = p^2\psi$, $\psi(-a, p) = 0$ in $L_2(-a, \infty)$:

$$\delta(x-s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \psi(x,p) \bar{\psi}(s,p) dp$$

and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\Psi(x,p) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{ip} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \psi(x,|p|), -\infty , play the role of eigenfunctions of the generator <math>\mathcal{L}$ of the evolution of the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation, $\mathcal{L}\Psi_{in}(*,p) = p\Psi_{in}(*,p)$. The spectrum of \mathcal{L} is $(-\infty,\infty)$. The incoming spectral representation

$$\mathbf{u} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_{in}} \langle \Psi_{in}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[\bar{\Psi}_{0,in}'(x) u_0'(x) + V_H(x) \bar{\Psi}_{0,in}(x) u_0'(x) + \bar{\Psi}_{1,in}(p,x) u_1(x) \right] dx = \mathcal{J}_{in} \mathbf{u}$$
(12)

transforms the incoming subspace \mathcal{D}_{in} into the Hardy class H^2_- of square-integrable functions on the real axis and the outgoing subspace \mathcal{D}_{out} gets mapped to the invariant subspace $\bar{S}(p)H^2_+$ of the positive shift semi-group $f(p) \rightarrow e^{ipt}f(p), t > 0$. Thus, the co-invariant subspace \mathcal{K} is transformed into $H^2_+ \ominus \bar{S}H^2_+ \equiv K$, and the Lax-Phillips semigroup becomes $P_K e^{ipt}\Big|_{\mathcal{K}} \equiv e^{iBt}$. In this representation, the spectrum of the generator $B = \mathcal{J}_{in}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{J}^+_{in}$ coincides with the zeros \bar{p}_s of $\bar{S}(\bar{p})$, and the eigenfunctions are just given by

$$\phi_s \equiv \bar{S}(\bar{p}) \sqrt{2|\Im p_s|} (p - \bar{p}_s)^{-1}.$$
(13)

Together with the eigenfunctions

$$\phi^{+} \equiv \sqrt{2|\Im p_{s}|}(p - p_{s})^{-1} \tag{14}$$

of the adjoint generator B^+ , they form a complete bi-orthogonal system in K. So that

$$B = \sum_{s} \phi_{s} \left\langle \frac{p_{s}}{\langle \phi_{s}, \phi_{s}^{+} \rangle} \left\langle \phi_{s}^{+} \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{s}^{+} \right\rangle \text{ and } e^{iBt} = \sum_{s} \phi_{s} \left\langle \frac{e^{ip_{s}t}}{\langle \phi_{s}, \phi_{s}^{+} \rangle} \left\langle \phi_{s}^{+} \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{s}^{+} \right\rangle.$$
(15)

Here, $\langle \phi_s, \phi_s^+ \rangle = \prod_{r \neq s} \frac{1 - \bar{p}_s / \bar{p}_r}{1 - p_s / p_r} \equiv \Pi_s$. Recall that the system $\{\phi_s\}, \{\phi_s^+\}$ is similar to an orthonormal basis if and only if the Carleson condition (see [15]) is fulfilled:

$$\inf_{r} \prod_{s \neq r} \frac{|p_s - p_r|}{|\bar{p}_s - p_r|} > 0.$$

Under the Carleson condition there exists an orthogonal basis $\{\nu_s\}$ that is connected with the normalized families $\{\phi_s\}, \{\phi_s^+\}$ by an invertible transformation:

$$\phi_s = \mathcal{T}\nu_s, \quad \phi_s^+ = \left[\mathcal{T}^{-1}\right]^+ \nu_s, \quad \text{with} \quad \left\|\mathcal{T}\right\|, \left\|\left[\mathcal{T}^{-1}\right]^+\right\| < \infty.$$

Unfortunately, the Carleson condition is never fulfilled for potential of the type V_H . However, this condition may be fulfilled for the corresponding polar problem with the potential substituted by a density, a coefficient in front of the spectral parameter, and the non-stationary equation $\rho/c^2 u_{tt} + u_{xx} = 0$.

Notice that the eigenvalues \bar{p}_s , p_s of \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{B}^+ depend on the parameter H and approach the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator $L_H = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + V_H(x)$ in $L_2(-a,0)$ with zero boundary conditions at the ends -a, 0. The resonances \bar{p}_s , the zeros of the Lax-Phillips Scattering matrix $S_{LP} = [S_H(p)]^{-1}$,

$$S_{LP}(p) = \frac{ip + [m(\lambda) + H]}{ip - [m(\lambda) + H]}, \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = p^2,$$

can be found from the equation $ip + [m(\lambda) + H] = 0$. For large values of H, the resonances are situated in the upper half-plane near the poles of $m(\lambda)$, the eigenvalues λ_s^D of the Dirichlet spectral problem on the interval (-a, 0):

$$ip + H + \frac{q_s}{\lambda - \lambda_s^D} + b_s = 0.$$

Denoting $\lambda_s^D = [p_s^D]^2$, we have the approximate expression for resonances p_s approaching p_s^D as $H \to +\infty$,

$$p_s \approx p_s^D + \frac{q_s(ip_s^D + H)}{2p_s^D(|p_s^D|^2 + (b_s + H)^2)} \approx p_s^D + \frac{q_s}{2p_s^D H} + \frac{iq_s}{2H^2}.$$
(16)

The eigenfunctions ϕ_s, ϕ_s^+ of the generators $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^+$ of the Lax-Phillips semi-group are calculated in spectral representation of the generator \mathcal{L} of the evolution of the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation according to (13,14) by the inverse spectral transformation. Their second components, for large H are close to the bound states of the eigenvalues $(p_s^D)^2$.

We can develop even more constructive explicitly solvable **abstract model of a quantum dot** based on a zero-range potential with an inner structure, which allows a reasonably precise fitting to the experimental data, similarly to one suggested in [37], which would explain recently discovered giant "topological resonances" occuring in scattering of the electromagnetic waves by carbon nanostructures, see, for instance, [40]. But we leave this interesting material for another publication.

The spectral analysis of the Lax-Phillips semi-group, described in the brief review above, was based, on the one hand, on the presence of the continuous spectrum of the zero-mass Klein-Gordon-Fock evolution group generator \mathcal{L} , and, on the other hand, on the observation that the group possesses a pair of orthogonal incoming and outgoing subspaces. More specifically, the continuous spectrum of \mathcal{L} fills in the whole real axis and the parts of the evolution in the incoming and outgoing subspaces are unitarily equivalent to the negative and positive semi-groups generated (in the *p*-representation) by the shift $f \to e^{ipt} f$ in the subspaces H^2_- and $S_{LP}H^2_+$, respectively. As a result, the remaining part of the corresponding positive evolution semi-group e^{iBt} , t > 0, reduced onto the co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{J}_{in}: \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow H^2_+ \ominus S_{LP}H^2_+ \equiv K$, is unitarily equivalent to the Lax-Phillips semi-group

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}U_t\Big|_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{J_{in}^+} P_K e^{ikt}\Big|_K, \quad t > 0.$$

4 The spectral meaning of resonances.

Nevertheless, bridging together both of the contradictory concepts of the WW and KF is not the main achievement of the Lax-Phillips point of view. We suggest that the main achievement is the discovery of the *spectral meaning* of resonances: once we reduce the unitary evolution onto the co-invariant space $K = H_+^2 \ominus S_{LP}H_+^2$, the result is represented, via \mathcal{J}_{in} , by the Lax-Phillips semi-group

$$e^{iBt}u = \sum_{s} e^{-i\bar{p}_{s}t} \frac{\phi_{s}\rangle\langle\phi_{s}^{+},u\rangle}{\langle\phi_{s},\phi_{s}^{+}\rangle}.$$
(17)

Here the "Gamov vectors" ϕ_s, ϕ_s^+ have an unambiguous spectral meaning as the eigenvectors of the Lax-Phillips semigroup generator \mathcal{B} , and \bar{p}_s are the corresponding eigenvalues. The spectrum of the generator is discrete, but the whole picture of the restricted evolution on the co-invariant subspace arose because of the specific features of the Lax-Phillips dynamics, first of all of those that are due to the presence of the constant multiplicity continuous spectrum on $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ for the shift group, exactly as it was expected in [5]. But the authors of [5] missed another essential point: the orthogonality in the energy-normed space of the incoming and outgoing invariant subspaces of the wave equation evolution. So , one can conclude that in the special case when the condition of orthogonality on the incoming and outgoing subspaces for the wave evolution is satisfied, the KF scheme of the exponential decay is confirmed mathematically. In that case , both the KF and WW schemes give expected results including that of the discreteness of the spectrum of resonances.

5 Quality of an oscillation system and the Resonance Pumping.

Note that the spectral decomposition for the Lax-Phillips semi-group ensures an exponentially decaying evolution for any single term of the spectral expansion of the semi-group, with the decrement $\Im p_s$. It is customary to interpret the slow decay of the terms of the spectral expansion as a "high quality" of the corresponding oscillatory system. There is, in principle, another method for the estimation of quality of the oscillatory system that is based on estimating the growth of the amplitudes of forced oscillations under periodic excitation. In radio-physics, these two estimations of "quality", based on the decay and on the "pumping", are considered to be alternative estimations of the quality, but the equivalence of them needs a justification using the spectral formulation of the Decay problem.

Indeed, let us consider the periodic excitation of the oscillatory system in the form

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{du}{dt} = Bu + e^{i\omega t}\nu$$

with zero incident value. Using the spectral representation of the Lax-Phillips semi-group, one obtains that

$$u(t) = \sum_{s} i \int_{0}^{t} e^{i(\omega - \bar{p}_{s})\tau} d\tau e^{i\bar{p}_{s}t} \frac{\phi_{s}\rangle\langle\phi_{s}^{+},\nu\rangle}{\langle\phi_{s},\phi_{s}^{+}\rangle} = e^{i\omega t} \sum_{s} \frac{1 - e^{i(\bar{p}_{s}-\omega)t}}{(\omega - \bar{p}_{s})} \frac{\phi_{s}\rangle\langle\phi_{s}^{+},\nu\rangle}{\langle\phi_{s},\phi_{s}^{+}\rangle}.$$

The phenomenon of resonance pumping is then observed when the frequency ω is close to one of the eigenvalues of the Lax-Phillips generator. For instance, if $\bar{p}_s - \omega = -i\Im p_s$, recall that $-\Im p_s > 0$, then the forced oscillation regime is

$$u(t) = \frac{e^{\Im p_1 t} - 1}{\Im p_1} e^{i\omega t} \frac{\phi_1 \rangle \langle \phi_1^+, \nu \rangle}{\langle \phi_1, \phi_s^+ \rangle} + \sum_{s>1} \frac{1 - e^{i(\bar{p}_s - \omega)t}}{i(\omega - \bar{p}_s)} \frac{\phi_s \rangle \langle \phi_s^+, \nu \rangle}{\langle \phi_s, \phi_s^+ \rangle}.$$

Therefore, the forced amplitude of the first term is linearly growing with time, until $t \approx (\Im p_1)^{-1}$, but eventually, at large time scale, it saturates at the value $-(\Im p_1)^{-1} \frac{\phi_1 \rangle \langle \phi_1^+, \nu \rangle}{\langle \phi_1, \phi_1^+ \rangle}$.

6 Complementarity of the Lax-Phillips Scattering Scheme and the Quantum Zeno Effect.

The celebrated Zeno Paradox, see [31], can also be treated from the viewpoint of the Lax–Phillips evolution. Indeed, consider the Lax-Phillips evolution defined by the unitary group $U_t = e^{i\mathcal{L}t}$ in an energy normed space \mathcal{E} and suppose that the group possesses an orthogonal pair $\mathcal{D}_{in,out}$ of incoming and outgoing subspaces. The restriction $P_{\mathcal{K}}U_tP_{\mathcal{K}}$, t > 0, of the positive semi-group onto the co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{E} \ominus [\mathcal{D}_{in} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{out}]$ is the Lax-Phillips semigroup $P_{\mathcal{K}}U_tP_{\mathcal{K}} \equiv e^{i\mathcal{B}t}$ with the simple (with no self-adjoint/symmetric parts) dissipative generator \mathcal{B} with discrete spectrum (parameterized by the characteristic function S_{LP} , the Lax-Phillips scattering matrix, defined by a Blaschke product). Introducing the amplitude $\langle e^{i\mathcal{L}t}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \equiv a_{\phi}(t)$ of the returning probability $p_t \equiv \bar{a}_{\phi} a_{\phi}$, for "smooth" elements $\phi \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $\mathcal{B}\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ we represent the amplitude as $a(t) = \langle e^{i\mathcal{B}t}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = 1 + it \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{t^2}{2} \langle \mathcal{B}^2\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} + \dots$. Then, Taylor's Theorem up to second order applied to the returning probability yields

$$p(t) = \bar{a}_{\phi} \ a_{\phi} = 1 - 2t\Im\langle\mathcal{B}\phi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - t^2 \left[\Re\langle\mathcal{B}^2\phi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - |\langle\mathcal{B}\phi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}|^2\right] + \cdots$$

If $\Im \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \neq 0$, then $1 - 2t \Im \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \approx e^{-2t \Im \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}}$, and hence, despite a multiple control of the evolution we have $p(t) \approx [p(t/n)]^n$. This is the case of an exponential decay with the decrement $\Gamma = 2\Im \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$. The alternative condition $\Im \langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ implies

$$p(t) = 1 - t^{2} \left[\Re \langle \mathcal{B}^{2} \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - |\langle \mathcal{B} \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}|^{2} \right] + \ldots \approx 1 - At^{2}$$

which would give the following asymptotics for the probability under the evolution with the multiple control at the sequence of moments $t_m = \frac{m}{n} t$, m = 1, 2, ...,

$$[p(t/n)^n) \approx [1 - A/n^2]^n \approx [e^{-A}]^{1/n} \approx 1 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

This result corresponds to the quantum Zeno effect. The condition $\Im\langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ is not compatible with dissipativity of the simple (with no self-adjoint parts) generator \mathcal{B} with Risz-basis property of eigenfunctions. Indeed the opposite condition $\langle \Im \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi \rangle > 0$ is obviously satisfied for all vectors from the domain of \mathcal{B} in the coinvariant subspace, if the system of it's eigenvectors is a Riesz basis. Thus, we conclude that the Zeno effect is not compatible with the Lax–Phillips evolution for elements ϕ from the coinvariant subspace such that $\Im\langle \mathcal{B}\phi, \phi\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} > 0$.

Vice versa, the general Schrödinger type unitary evolution $U_t \phi = e^{iLt} \phi$ of a smooth state ϕ is compatible with the Zeno effect (whenever L is a self-adjoint generator in the Hilbert space E).

Indeed, the corresponding infinitesimal evolution for a smooth normalized state ϕ yields

$$p(t) = \langle e^{iLt} \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \langle \phi, e^{iLt} \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \approx 1 - t^2 \left[\langle L^2 \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - (\langle L \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})^2 \right] + \dots$$

Hence, in an experiment with the multiple control at the moments of time $t_m = \frac{m}{n} t$, m = 1, 2, ..., we obtain:

$$\left[p(t/n)\right]^n \approx \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{n^2} \left[\langle L^2 \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - (\langle L \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})^2\right]\right)^n \approx e^{-\left[\langle L^2 \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} - (\langle L \phi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}})^2\right]t^2 n^{-1}} \to 1, \text{ when } n \to \infty.$$

This corresponds to the standard Zeno effect in Quantum Mechanics, see [8]. It is worth mentioning that Quantum Mechanics is a description of dynamics and probability is not intrinsically involved in that. But probability arises as a detail of the measurement process: it is clearly seen from the preceding analysis that the interplay between the dynamics and the measurement process is different for the Schrödinger evolution [8] and for the Lax-Phillips one.

7 Conclusion

Our version of matching of a zero-mass field in the outer space with the Schrödinger evolution on the inner space of the quantum system allows one to derive the exponential decay based on the classical Lax-Phillips technique. Contrary

to the constructions suggested in [22, 23] and and those in the recent papers [25, 26], we use explicit functional model formulae for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the corresponding dissipative generator that gives rise to the reduced dynamics on the corresponding coinvariant subspace. For low energy, the dynamics on the inner space is matched with the corresponding Schrödinger dynamics that provides the standard probabilistic interpretation of the wave-function but would formally produce non-exponential terms in the large-time scale. But the original dynamics, before being reduced to Schrödinger's scenario, exhibits an exponential decay for large time, with non-exponential terms absent. Our approach also reveals the spectral meaning of the resonances and the resonance states, and permits to bridge, on this base, the alternative concepts of resonances and the exponential decay proposed by Weisskopf–Wigner and Krylov–Fock. In turn, this proves that the lifetime of a resonance and the velocity of the resonance pumping are directly connected. We also establish duality between the exponential decay and the absence of the quantum Zeno effect on resonance initial data for the quantum system under a permanent control.

8 Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Professor L. Prokhorov for a profound discussion of massive photons in superconductors and the relevant references provided, and to Konstantin K. Makarov who helped us a lot with the text improvement on the last stage of our work. One of the authors (B.P.) is grateful to the Russian Academy for the support from the grant RFBR 03-01-00090.

References

- P. A. M. Dirac, Development of the Physicists's conception of Nature. In : The physicists conception of Nature, ed. by J. Mehra. Doderech, Holland. D. Reidel (1973. pp 1-14.)
- J. von Neumann, Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics Twelfth printing. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton Paperbacks. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1996)
- [3] G. Gamow, Zur Quantentheorie des Atomkernes Zeitshrift für Physik, 51(1928) pp. 204-2012. 1
- [4] V. E. Weisskopf and E. P. Wigner, Zeitshrift für Physik, **63**, 54 (1930), **65**, 18 (1930)
- [5] V. A. Fock and V. A. Krylov, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics (USSR), 17, 93 (1947) 1, 10
- [6] V. A. Fock, Selected works. Quantum mechanics and quantum field theory Edited by L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Khalfin and I. V. Komarov. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2004) xii+567 pp.
- [7] L. Khalfin, On the theory of decay of a quasy-stationary state In: Soviet Phys. Doklady V.2 (1958) p 340. 1, 7
- [8] J. Sakurai, Modern Quantum Mechanics. Revised Edition Addison-Wesesley PC (1994), 500 pp. 1, 11
- [9] E. C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunction expansion associated with second -order differential equations Part 1, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1962) vi+203 pp 3
- [10] P. Lax and R. Phillips, *Scattering theory* Academic Press, New York (1967) 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
- [11] G. C. Hegerfeldt, Causality, particle localization and positivity of the energy. In: Irreversibility and causality: semigroups and rigged Hilbert spaces. Lecture Notes in Physics, v. 504 (1998) pp 238-245. 3, 6
- [12] A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert Space. Acta. math. 81 (1948) pp. 239-255. 3
- [13] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space. Translated from the French and revised North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York; Akadmiai Kiad, Budapest 1970 xiii+389 pp. 4, 5, 6

- [14] P. Koosis, Introduction to Hp spaces. Second edition. With two appendices by V. P. Havin. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 115. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1998 xiv+289 pp. 4, 5
- [15] B. Pavlov, Spectral Analysis of a Dissipative Singular Schrödinger Operator in terms of a Functional Model in the book: Partial Differential Equations,ed.M.Shubin in series Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Springer, 65 (1995) pp 87-153. 5, 7, 9
- [16] N. K. Nikol'skii and S. V.'Khrushchv, A functional model and some problems of the spectral theory of functions (Russian). Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 176 (1987) pp 97210, 327. Translated fom Russian in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., no. 3, 101214. (Mathematical physics and complex analysis.) (1988) 5
- [17] M. G. Krein, Selected works. II : Banach spaces and operator theory In Russian. Natsional'naya Akademiya Nauk Ukrainy, Institut Matematiki, Kiev, (1996) 348 pp. 5
- [18] M. G. Krein, Selected works. III. Topics in differential and integral equations and operator theory. Edited by I. Gohberg. Translated from the Russian by A. Iacob. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 7. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1983. ix+302 pp. 5
- [19] V. M. Adamjan and D. Z. Arov, On scattering operators and contraction semigroups in Hilbert space (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165 (1965) pp 912. 5, 6
- [20] M. S. Livshits, method of nonselfadjoint operators in the theory of waveguides In: Radio Engineering and Electronic Physics. Pulb. by American Institute of Electrical Engineers, 1 (1962) pp 260-275. 5
- [21] B. Pavlov, The theory of extensions and explicitly-soluble models Russian Math. Surveys 42:6 (1987)pp 127-168.
- [22] C. Flesia and C. Piron, Helv. Phys. Acta 57 (1984) p 697 6, 12
- [23] L. P. Horwitz and C. 'Piron, Helv. Phys. Acta 66 (1993) p. 694. 6, 12
- [24] Y. Strauss, L. P. Horwitz and E. Eisenberg, Representation of quantum mechanical resonances in the lax-Phillips Hilbert space In: Journal of Mathematical Physics 41, 12 (Quantum Physics, Particles and Fields) 8050 (2000) 6
- [25] H. Baumgartel, Gamov Vectors for resonances: a Lax-Phillips point of view International Journal of Theoretical Physics 46, 8 (2007), pp 1960-1985. 6, 12
- [26] H. Baumgartel, Resonances of quantum-mechanical scattering systems and lax-Phillips scattering theorey. Journal of mathematical Physics 51, 113508 (2010) pp 1-20. 6, 12
- [27] V. Ginzburg and L. Landau, Toward the superconductivity theory. In Russian. Zhurnal Eksp. Yheoret. Physics, 29, 1950, p. 1064.
- [28] L. B. Okun, Leptons and quarks Amsterdam, North Holland (1981) 351 p. 1
- [29] A. Ponomarev, M.'Yudovich, M.'Gruzdev, and V. Yudovich, Theoretical estimations of topological factor in interaction of the nanoparticles with electromagnetic waves In the Journal :Scientific Israel- Technological Advancements, 11, 3 (2009)pp 20-26. 2, 9
- [30] V. N. Gribov, *Quantum Electrodynamics* Moscow, Igevsk, 2001, kolxo3.tiera.ru, in Russia. 6
- [31] B. Misra and E. C. G. Sudarshan, The Zeno paradox in quantum theory In: Journal of Mathematical Physics 18, (4)April 1977, pp 756-753. 11
- [32] A. S. Davydov, *Quantum Mechanics*, Chapter IX, section 80.
- [33] M. A. Krasnosel'skij, On selfadjoint extensions of Hermitian Operators (in Russian) Ukrainskij Mat.Journal 1, 21 (1949)
- [34] J. Shirokov, Strongly singular potentials in three-dimensional Quantum Mechanics (In Russian) Teor. Mat. Fiz. 42 1 (1980) pp.45-49.

- [35] S. Albeverio, P. Kurasov, Singular Perturbations of Differential Operators, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 271. Cambridge University Press (2000)
- [36] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman, Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space, (Frederick Ungar, Publ., New-York, vol. 1, 1966) (Translated from Russian by M. Nestel)
- [37] V. Adamyan, C. Calude, B. Pavlov A quantum scattering approach to undecidable problems In: "Quantum Information Complexity", Proceedings of the Meijo Winter School, 6-11 January 2003, Meijo Univ., Nagoya, Japan, ed. Hida, K.Saito, Si Si, World Scientific, Singapore (2004) pp119–137. 9
- [38] B. Pavlov, A star-graph model via operator extension Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, Volume 142, Issue 02, March 2007, pp 365-384.
- [39] A. Yafyasov, G. Martin, B.Pavlov Resonance one-body scattering on a junction In: Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics. 1, (1) (2010) pp 108-147.
- [40] A. Ponomarev, M. Yudovich, M. Gruzdev, V. Yudovich Theoretical estimations of topological factor in interaction of the nanoparticles with electromagnetic waves In the Journal :Scientific Israel- Technological Advancements, 11, 3 (2009)pp 20-26. 2, 9

Vladimir I. Kruglov, Department of Physics, University of Auckland, v.kruglov@auckland.ac.nz

Konstantin A. Makarov, Department of Mathematics, University of Missoury, Columbia, makarovk@missouri.edu

Boris S. Pavlov, Institute of Advanced Study, Massey University, Albany Campus, Auckland; Physical Faculty, St. Petersburg University, Russia, pavlov@math.auckland.ac.nz

Adil' M. Yafyasov, Department of Solid State Electronics, Physical Faculty, St. Petersburg University, Russia, yafyasov@desse.phys.spbu.ru